



## UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR AT		TORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/286.723	04/06/99	DUGGAN		D LO	OS-CASE-6
	, . ·	PM11/0618		EXAMINER	
MURTHA CULLINA RICHTER AND PINNEY CITYPLACE I 30TH FLOOR			•	SAKRAN, V	
185 ASYLUM S		•	-	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HARTFORD CT	06103-3469		•	3626	2/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

**DATE MAILED:** 06/18/99



## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/286,723

Applicants

**DUGGAN** 

Examiner

Victor Sakran

Group Art Unit 3626



Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•
This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193!	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to solve the solve s	to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	
X Claim(s) 1, 2, and 4-7	
X Claim(s) 3	
☐ Claims	
Application Papers  X See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	g Review. PTO-948
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	க டந்திர் செய் டங்கையுர் செய்
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d)
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies or	
received.	- <u>-</u>
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur	mber)
received in this national stage application from the	
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priorit	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
☑ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	lo(s)
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94     Notice of Draftsperson PTO-9	48
□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON 1	THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Application/Control Number: 09/286,723

Art Unit: 3626

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1, 2 and 4, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McLay U.S. Patent '589 in view of Landry U.S. Patent '387.

Molay discloses the general combination claimed of a bracket assembly comprising a planar base portion having an aperture therein and a fastening means for mounting said bracket to a support structure including a first wing member extending away at an angle from said planar base and a second wing member also extending away at an angle from said planar base, wherein each of said wing members provided with an aperture therein for receiving fastening means, see Figures 1,

Application/Control Number: 09/286,723

Art Unit: 3626

Page 3

3, 4 and the entire document; except for the particular use of the bracket assembly with a wire rope, cord or the like. Landry discloses a line, cord or rope holder bracket assembly including a planar base having an aperture therein, first and second wing members extending at an angle away from said base, see Figures 1 and 2 and the entire document. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the bracket assembly in McLay in combination with a wire rope or cable for supporting an object in the manner taught and suggested by Landry; and/or vice versa by merely using fastening means for securing the base of the bracket in Landry to a supporting surface in the manner taught and suggested by McLay; especially, since such modifications involve only routine skill in the art. Furthermore, the particular use of the bracket assembly is considered to be an obvious matter of choice within the skill in the art. As to the particular type of material used, it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice; see In Re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Claims 5 and 7, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the same references as applied to claim 1, above, and further in view of Black et al U.S. Patent '288 who teaches the use of first and second wing - type portions extending away from its base; wherein said wing portions defining a generally an L - shaped members with respect to the center portion of its base; see Figures 1, 4, and 5. Note that the aperture 10, and one of the other

Application/Control Number: 09/286,723

Art Unit: 3626

apertures 16, are disposed perpendicular with respect to each other, and to further incorporate such structure in McLay and/or Landry in the manner taught and disclosed by Black et al, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Claim 6, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the same references as applied to claim 5, above, and further in view of Krongauz et al U. S. Patent '843 who teaches the use of a plurality of similar brackets assembly having an aligned apertures formed at their base portions for receiving a fastening means, see Figures 2, 3, 4 and 8 and to use a plurality of similar bracket assembly in McLay and/or Landry instead of just one in the manner taught and suggested by Krongauz et al it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Claim 3, is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3626

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant's attention is directed to the prior art cited herein, but not applied, as showing structure related to Applicant's disclosed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor Sakran whose telephone number is (703) 308-2224. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Knight, can be reached on (703) 308-3179. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3597 or 305-3598.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

VICTOR SAKRAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 3626